

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,623	07/13/2001	Stuart Asawaka	10011919-1	3732
	7590 05/01/2007 .CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			KOYAMA, KUMIKO C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 dit comms, ee cos27 2 lee			2876	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		05/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/905,623	ASAWAKA, STUART				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kumiko C. Koyama	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2007</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in ion is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date iice of Informal Patent Application er:				

Application/Control Number: 09/905,623

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment received on February 06, 2007 has been acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 9-11, 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson et al. (US 5,850,584) in view of Keane et al (US 6,650,433).

Re claims 1, 9-11 and 19: Robinson teaches a printer system wherein with input from the operator by the UI 14, the image processing parameters of IPS 112 can be changed to produce different types/quality of images, which can be displayed on the UI 14 prior to printing. Using this ability to change image processing techniques, a range of image processing settings can be selected by the operator for a particular job or page (col 6, lines 27-34). Inputting by the operator shows receiving a resource request at the printer, and the resource request including a request for at least one of use and a right of use of a selected at least one enhanced printer operational resource. Robinson further teaches that standard CMYK colorants are used, but non-standard or special colorants such as green and orange can be used to extend the printer gamut (col 7, lines 8-10). Such teaching teaches that the printer includes operation resources comprising core printer operation resources and enhanced printer operational resources. Robinson discloses that UI 14

Application/Control Number: 09/905,623

Art Unit: 2876

enables an operator to control and monitor various operator adjustable functions and maintenance activities. The operator actuates the appropriate keys on UI 14 to adjust the parameters of a print job. The output signal from UI 14 is transmitted to ESS 11. ESS 11 is programmable microprocessor system. ESS 11 conventionally controls all machines steps and functions including operation of document feeders, document and print sheet deflectors or gates, sheet feeder drives, downstream finishing device etc. (col 3, lines 30-46). Robinson further discloses that documents transmitted from workstation 4 to ESS 11 are electronically generated or retrieved, and IPS 112 receives a contone image and decomposes the contone image to a raster image. IPS 112 transmits signal corresponding to the desired electronic or scanned image to ROS 16 to create the output print image (col 3, lines 62-col 4, lines 3). Such disclosure teaches operating the printer according to the resource request including at least one of use of and right of use of the selected at least one enhanced printer operational resource. Robinson also determining the cost of materials to be consumed based on the change of the at least one image processing parameters (col 6, lines 33-38 and col 8, lines 22-25), which teaches initiating a payment transaction based on the operating step, wherein the payment transaction includes a charge calculated as a function of enhanced printer operational resource request.

Robinson does not specifically teach that a charge is calculated only as a function of the enhanced printer operational resource request.

Keane discloses that business cards are offered to customers by the web server host at no charge, and the cost of printing these items can be recouped by the web server host by charging a fee for upgrades (col 14, lines 40-45). As an example, Keane discloses that the web server host

may include an advertisement on the back of each free card, and charge a fee if the customer does not wish this advertisement to appear on the customer's card (col 14, lines 45-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Keane to the teachings of Robinson because such modification provides a cheaper rate for the customers to print a standard prints without losing profitability of the printing company.

Re claim 3: The colorants described in Robinson are consumable elements.

Re claims 4 and 15: The use of non-standard or special colorants in Robinson are enhanced outputs and the output with just the CMYK is an output without the enhanced source.

3. Claims 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of Keane as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, further in view of Pierce (US 6,202,057). The teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane have been discussed above.

Robinson as modified by Keane does not specifically teach that the printer initiates the payment transaction.

Pierce teaches that the printer module initiates a transaction by sending a request for evidence of payment and receives evidence of payment for subsequent printing, which shows a transaction control (col 4, lines 1-9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Pierce to the teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane such that the printer can request the user the appropriate charge for the use of the printer according to the resources and number of pages the user printed from the printer, and

such modification provides a more accurate charge because the payment initiation is done in the printer and not elsewhere.

4. Claims 5 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of Keane as applied to claims 4 and 15 above, further in view of Maruta et al (US 6,064,838). The teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane have been discussed above.

Robinson as modified by Keane does not specially disclose printer resolution.

Maruta discloses that a user sets the appropriate printing conditions such as sheet size, resolution of picture quality, the number of copies, and the like for color printer 804. The cost required for the printing operation is determined, and then a printing operation is executed (col 2, lines 25-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Maruta to the teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane the picture quality increase by increasing the resolution of the image because fine resolution define smoother curves and lines.

5. Claims 6 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of Keane as applied to claims 4 and 15 above, further in view of Hayashi (US 6,375,297). The teachings of Robinson have been discussed above.

Robinson as modified by Keane fail to teach a printer throughput speed.

Hayashi teaches that the instruction receiving section 11 drives the print controller 1 as the information processor before printing commences and in turn the print controller 1 drives its display device to display a selection screen, which contain options of sizes and sorts of printing media, print quality modes (normal mode/high resolution mode), printing speed (moving

Art Unit: 2876

velocity of the recording head), and others. The selection screen is presented to a printer operator or user for selection of his or her desired options (col 7, lines 50-58).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Hayashi to the teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane because Hayashi's teachings contains additional resources not taught by Robinson, and therefore by integrating Hayashi into Robinson, the printer is capable of providing picture or enhanced quality of printing or faster printing capabilities.

6. Claims 7, 8 and 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of Keane as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, further in view of Nocker (US 6,236,486). The teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane have been discussed above.

Robinson as modified by Keane fail to teach that the selected at least one printer resource comprises access to a selected communication channel and the selected communication channel comprises at least one of an IR link and a network link.

Nocker teaches that an optical communication channel is established so that data files and commands may be sent from the data-collection computer 10 directly to the printer 20.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Nocker to the teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane and provide a communication channel comprising a network link in order to remotely print desired information by sending the information directly to the printer, which make the process faster.

Art Unit: 2876

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of Keane as applied to claim 9 above, further in view of Sugiura et al (US 4,393,375). The teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane have been discussed above.

Robinson as modified by Keane fails to teach a request to remove the at least one of the plurality of printer operational resources from the second set and place at least one of the plurality of printer operational resources in the first set.

Sugiura discloses a control system for use in a copy machines, which includes control keys for setting the operational mode of the machine and display devices for indicating the operational settings (col 1, lines 38-45). Sugiura discloses identifying individual instructions for setting a plurality of operational modes (col 1, lines 47-49). The copy machine can be subjectively standardized to a specified set of machine functions as desired by the user (col 3, lines 4-6). An operator will be given the opportunity to change the individual operating features of the standardized set by inputting overriding input commands signals into the machine (col 3, lines 6-10). Sugiura also discloses removing the specific second input command signals from the means for storing and reactivating the predetermined set of first command signals for each discrete operating feature (col 11, lines 45-50). Such disclosure teaches removing a printer operational resource and placing the printer operational resource.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Sugiura to the teachings of Robinson as modified by the Keane and manually provide a request for removing and placing a printer operational resource because different users have a different printing plans and accounts for the printing charges, and therefore, there must be means to change the printing profile so that the a

Application/Control Number: 09/905,623

Art Unit: 2876

single printer can be used by multiple users and accommodating such users by having customized profile settings.

8. Claims 14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson in view of Keane as applied to claims 9 and 19 above, further in view of Freeman (US 6,134,557). The teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane have been discussed above.

Robinson as modified by Keane fails to teach that the transaction control generates a use report for delivery to a resource vendor.

Freeman teaches printing a material supply list and transferring the generated list(s) to the vendor/merchant (col 2, lines 19-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Freeman to the teachings of Robinson as modified by Keane in order to inform the vendor which resources have been added to the printing so that the vendor can determined the appropriate charge and can analyze the type of resources that the consumers are demanding for, which leads to better business and accurate analysis.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant has amended the claims with the limitation "only" which necessitated new search and consideration. Accordingly, new grounds of rejection have been provided and

Art Unit: 2876

arguments are moot in view of new grounds of rejection. Therefore, this action is Final necessitated by Amendment.

\ Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kumiko C. Koyama whose telephone number is 571-272-2394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,623 Page 10

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kumike C. Keyama Kumiko C. Koyama

April 28, 2007